

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,728	BUSSEAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Renata McCloud	2837	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) ____

 (2) Shawn Hunter.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that claim 21 is a method claim that depends from apparatus claim 17. Att. Hunter indicated that claim 21 should depend from claim 18 and agreed to correct this via examiner amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)